

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/699,617	KAHKOSKA ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paresh Patel	2829	

**All Participants:**

(1) Paresh Patel.

(2) Mr. Walters.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 30 March 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*none*

**Claims discussed:**

*1, 7, 13, 19 and 22*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*US pat. 5,812,557; US Pat. 5,706,348; and US Pat. 6,834,040*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Amendment to claim 1 to include subject matter of claim 7 and amendment to claim 13 to include subject matter of claim 19 were discussed. Mr. Walters approved the suggestion in view of possible allowance. Amendment to claim 22 discussed to overcome 35 USC 112 issue(s). The above reference were the closest prior art found...